

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/570,815 | 03/06/2006 | Josef Bader | ZAHFRIP824US | 4903 |
| 20210 DAVIS & BIT | 7590 12/10/200 JOLD, P.L.L.C. | EXAMINER | | |
| 112 PLEASAI | NT STREET | KNIGHT, DEREK DOUGLAS | | |
| CONCORD, N | NH 03301 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3655 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 12/10/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|------------------|--------------|--|--|
| 10/570,815 | BADER, JOSEF | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| DEREK D. KNIGHT | 3655 | | |
| DENER D. KINIGHT | 3633 | | |

| | DEREK D. KNIGHT | 3655 | |
|---|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 24 November 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must limely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Arno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(f | | FIRST REPLY WAS FI | LED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period to under 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sis- set forth in (b) above; if checked. Any reply received by the Office term any reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL. | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complete. | iance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be t | filed within two months | s of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | appeal. Since a |
| <u>AMENDMENTS</u> | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be They raise new issues that would require further core They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | sideration and/or search (see NOT | | cause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | | ducing or simplifying t | ne issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | orresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 1. See attached Notice of Non-Co. | mpliant Amendment (I | PTOL-324) |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | , |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>21</u> . | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 14-22. | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but See attached. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| _ _ | | | |

/CHARLES A. MARMOR/ U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3655

/Derek D Knight/

Examiner, Art Unit 3655

Applicant argues that Fig. 2 of the Reynolds '062 patent does not disclose the invention as disclosed in the current applicantion. The examiner's reject was based on Fig. 5 of the Peynolds '062 patent and does disclosed all the limitations of the claims.

The propsed amended claims would be rejected in the same way as they were rejected in the Final Office Action mailed on 9/2/2008.